The Daily Dispatch Page 1 of 2



## **Printer Friendly**

## **WEDNESDAY JUNE 25, 2008** Last modified:

Saturday, May 31, 2008 3:05 PM MDT

New sales tax would pay for mass transit

By Howard Fischer Capitol Media Services

PHOENIX — Arizona voters may get a choice of exactly how much they want to tax themselves for tran improvements — and for which projects.

Rep. Russell Pearce is crafting a measure to impose a half-cen increase in the state's 5.6 percent sales ta: 20 years. Pearce, who said he is still exploring options, said the plan would be to have the Republican-co Legislature put the plan on the November ballot.

The real aim, Pearce said, is to provide an alternative to a more comprehensive initiative being pushed be Gov. Jane Napolitano and some business and community leaders for a full penny hike in the levy for 30 y would generate \$42. billion which would repay money borrowed up front for various yet-to-be-identified projects.

Pearce said Friday there is no way the state needs that kind of money up front.

But his real concern is that \$7.6 billion of the money the initiative would raise would be set aside to consmass transit programs, ranging from vanpool service to trolley lines and passenger rail service from Tucs and possibly beyond.

"You ought to be able to vote on what you want," said Pearce, who admitted he is no fan of subsidized I He said the package being pushed by initiative supporters means "you've got to take the bad to get the go

Mass transit aside, Pearce said he believes voters might be more willing to hike what they pay in sales ta percent — what a half-penny hike translates to — rather than twice that much.

A one-cent increase computes out to an additional dime on a movie ticket and \$250 tacked on to the pric new car.

The governor said Friday she is not concerned about the possibility of a less-expensive and less-comprehensure competing for votes in November, at least not yet. "We'll deal with it if it makes the ballot," she

But the size of the hike being backed by the initiative, especially with the state in an economic slump, cor Pearce's alternative more attractive.

The Daily Dispatch Page 2 of 2

Marty Shultz, a lobbyist for Arizona Public Service and treasurer of the group pushing the initiative, disi Pearce's measure as ill conceived. He pointed out — and Pearce acknowledged — the proposal as yet has of how much it would raise and exactly where it would go.

But Shultz said his real concern is that some people might believe that a plan to finance only freeways as be sufficient, calling Pearce's proposal "a fake choice."

"This isn't about being forced to accept all or nothing," he said.

"This is about a comprehensive plan that has connectivity,"

Shultz continued. "You can't separate modes of transportation."

Shultz said Arizona is long past the point where the Tucson and Phoenix metro areas can get along with And he said it is rapidly approaching the time where the growing corridor between the two communities without passenger rail service.

Pearce, however, said voters should be able to make that decision on their own. "We're not going to get their cars," he said.

And Pearce said mass transit is more expensive than roads, not just in up-front construction costs that we out of that penny sales tax hike. That's because mass transit requires ongoing subsidies.

Shultz conceded the point but said that fare box revenues will cover most of that.

That, however, is not the case now with the Phoenix area bus system, according to Brian Jungwirth, chie Valley Metro. Nor will it be the case when the first trolleys start rolling in December.

He said fares will cover only about 25 percent of operating costs. The balance, said Jungwirth, will be pitaxpayers in affected cities.

Shultz did find one thing positive about Pearce's plan.

"He obviously thinks there's a need," Shultz said. And he noted that Pearce agrees that the source of rev be higher sales taxes.

"It's just 50 percent too small and 10 years too short," he said.

If Pearce is successful in getting his plan on the ballot, it could actually have the result of confusing vote both. That has happened in the past when two similar measures were on the ballot, ranging from a state h honor Martin Luther King Jr. to last year's defeat of two competing measures dealing with preservation  $\varepsilon$ 

"That's not my goal," Pearce said.